

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/711,143	Applicant(s) WATSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Phallaka Kik	Art Unit 2825	

**All Participants:**

(1) Phallaka Kik.

(2) Michael E. Whitham (Reg. No. 32,635).

**Status of Application: pending**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 June 2006

**Time:** 5:09PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1-23*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*In response to the Examiner's indication that the claims are subjected to restriction requirement, Applicant's Representative elected to prosecute the invention of claims 1-20 without traverse.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)